



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/519,816

08/29/2005

Miska Hiltunen

915-015.007

5470

4955 7590 05/02/2008

WARE FRESSOLA VAN DER SLUYS & ADOLPHSON, LLP
BRADFORD GREEN, BUILDING 5
755 MAIN STREET, P O BOX 224
MONROE, CT 06468

EXAMINER

BHATTACHARYA, SAM

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2617

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

05/02/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/519,816	Applicant(s) HILTUNEN, MISKA	
	Examiner Sam Bhattacharya	Art Unit 2617	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 January 2008.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brinkman et al. (US 2005/0120866) in view of DiMaria (US 5,995,014).

Regarding claims 1 and 15-19, Brinkman discloses an apparatus comprising: a central processing unit (10), interface means for providing access to a memory area (11, 11', 11"0 for storing personal information data of the owner of the electronic device, a user interface (13) having at least input means (14) for inputting operating commands, and output means (15) for outputting information data, locking means (17) for locking the electronic device to prevent unauthorized use thereof if activated, and retrieving means (18) for operating the electronic device to retrieve the personal information data stored in the memory area (11, 11', 11"), even if the locking means (17) are activated. See paragraph 71.

Brinkman fails to disclose a memory that stores personal information data of an owner and that the retrieving module is connected to an input module of a processing unit for operating upon receipt of a command.

However, in an analogous art, DiMaria discloses these features in col. 5, line 63 – col. 6, line 4. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the apparatus in Brinkman by incorporating this feature taught in

Art Unit: 2617

DiMaria for the purpose of providing the convenience of having a user operate the retrieving module.

Regarding claim 2, Brinkman discloses memory means (11) connected with the central processing unit (10) for providing the memory area for storing personal information data of the owner of the electronic device. See paragraph 72.

Regarding claim 3, Brinkman discloses a SIM card (10) connected with the central processing unit (10) wherein memory means (11') provided on the SIM card (19) are used as the memory area for storing personal information data of the owner of the electronic device. See paragraph 131.

Regarding claim 4, Brinkman discloses an air interface for connecting the central processing unit (1) with the memory area for storing personal information data of the owner of the electronic device, the memory area is provided by remote memory means (11") located at a server (30) of a service provider. See paragraph 94.

Regarding claim 5, Brinkman discloses that the air interface comprises an RF module (31) for use in a telecommunications network. See paragraph 95.

Regarding claim 6, Brinkman discloses that the input means comprises a specific button (14') for activating the retrieving means (18) for obtaining the personal information data of the owner from the memory area (11). See paragraph 78.

Regarding claim 7, Brinkman discloses that the input means comprises a keypad (14) for dialing a phone number and in that the retrieving means (18) comprises a comparator means for comparing a character sequence input via the keypad (140) with a retrieving code and access

means for retrieving personal information data of the owner from the memory area. See paragraph 87.

Regarding claim 8, Brinkman discloses that the retrieving means (18) comprises a menu control means for selecting a menu item for obtaining the personal information data of the owner from the memory area (11). See paragraph 91.

Regarding claim 9, Brinkman discloses an air interface for connecting the central processing unit (1) with the memory area for storing personal information data of the owner of the electronic device, the memory area is provided by remote memory means (11") located at a server (30) of a service provider. See paragraph 84.

Regarding claim 10, Brinkman discloses an air interface for connecting the central processing unit (1) with the memory area for storing personal information data of the owner of the electronic device, the memory area is provided by remote memory means (11") located at a server (30) of a service provider. See paragraph 78.

Regarding claim 11, Brinkman discloses that the air interface comprises an RF module (31) for use in a telecommunications network. See paragraph 79.

Regarding claim 12, Brinkman discloses that the input means comprises a specific button (14') for activating the retrieving means (18) for obtaining the personal information data of the owner from the memory area (11). See paragraph 75.

Regarding claim 13, Brinkman discloses that the input means comprises a keypad (14) for dialing a phone number and in that the retrieving means (18) comprises a comparator means for comparing a character sequence input via the keypad (140) with a retrieving code and access

Art Unit: 2617

means for retrieving personal information data of the owner from the memory area. See paragraph 79.

Regarding claim 14, Brinkman discloses that the retrieving means (18) comprises a menu control means for selecting a menu item for obtaining the personal information data of the owner from the memory area (11). See paragraph 79.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sam Bhattacharya whose telephone number is (571) 272-7917. The examiner can normally be reached on Weekdays, 9-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, George Eng can be reached on (571) 272-7495. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

sb